185. SPELEANDS. Macropolycyclic Receptor Cages Based on Binding and Shaping Sub-units. Synthesis and Properties of Macrocycle-Cyclotriveratrylene Combinations

Preliminary communication

by Josette Canceill^a), André Collet^a), Jacqueline Gabard^a), Florence Kotzyba-Hibert^b) and Jean-Marie Lehn^{a,b})

^a) Laboratoire de Chimie des Interactions Moléculaires, Collège de France, 11, Place Marcelin-Berthelot, F-75005 Paris¹)

^b) Institut Le Bel, Université Louis-Pasteur, 4, rue Blaise-Pascal, F-67000 Strasbourg²)

(16.VII.82)

Summary

Combination of a receptor unit with a rigid shaping unit produces a new type of receptor molecules of the cryptand class, hollow macropolycyclic molecules termed *speleands*, capable of substrate inclusion. Two members of this category of compounds 1 and 2, have been synthesized by connecting in a single step, a macrocyclic [18]-N₃O₃ binding unit with a rigid cyclotriveratrylene unit *via* three bridges. Compound 1 binds the methylammonium cation forming both external and internal complexes; for the latter a '*speleate*' structure, schematically represented by 15, may be proposed.

Cryptates are defined as inclusion complexes in which the substrate species is (are) contained in the intramolecular cavity of a macropolycyclic receptor molecule. Introduced originally for alkali and alkaline-earth complexes of macrobicyclic cryptands, this general concept has since been extended to inclusion complexes of a large variety of substrates (other inorganic cations, organic cations, as well as anionic species) with macropolycyclic molecules of various shapes and sizes, designed so as to display molecular recognition processes [1]. The molecular architecture of these macropolycycles is based on the combination of various sub-units possessing specific structural properties and containing defined binding sites, in order to achieve receptor-substrate complementarity for both geometry and interactions. Furthermore, ligand dynamics, *i.e.* the rigidity/flexibility balance, influence substrate binding and exchange rates.

Within this general class of cryptand molecules, the connection of polar binding sub-units to large, concave, more or less rigid and hydrophobic shaping

¹) GR 20 of the CNRS.

²) ERA 265 of the CNRS.

components, should produce a new type of hollow macropolycyclic receptors, capable of substrate inclusion. We propose the terms *speleands* (from $\sigma\pi\eta\lambda a_{10}v$ = cave) and *speleates* for individualizing this sub-class of cryptands and of inclusion complexes. The binding sub-units may be derived from chelating, tripodal or macrocyclic arrangements of binding sites (as for instance in polynucleating crypt-ands [2]). The shaping components may belong to different types of framework like phenol-aldehyde condensation products, cyclodextrins [3] or functionalized porphyrins and tetrapyrroles [4]. The former are of special interest since, in addition to known representatives (*e.g.* veratrole-formaldehyde cyclotriveratrylene [5-10], phenol-formaldehyde [11] [12] calixarene [13] [14] or resorcinol-aldehyde [15-17] condensation products), many intriguing variations remain to be investigated. Furthermore, such substances form clathrate inclusion compounds with organic molecules in the solid state (*cf.* [14] [18]) a property which may confer additional binding potential to speleands, especially towards hydrophobic substrates.

We now report preliminary results on the synthesis and substrate-binding properties of two members of this family of macropolycycles (compounds 1 and 2) which result from the connection of a macrocyclic binding sub-unit of type [18]-N₃O₃(3, [19]) to the cyclotriveratrylene (CTV) derivative 4 [9] [20] by three bridges. Triply bridged coreceptors incorporating two [18]-N₃O₃ rings and forming molecular cryptates have been described [21], as well as a molecular cage formed by two triply connected CTV units [20].

The racemic (C_3) -tricarboxylic acid $(\pm)5$ [20] was converted into the trichloride 6 by treatment with thionyl chloride. Condensation of 6 with 3 in high dilution conditions [22] afforded the tricarboxamide 7 (m.p. $\approx 325^{\circ}$ (dec.)) in 35% yield after purification by chromatography over alumina. Reduction of 7 with diborane [22], gave the CTV-[18]-N₃O₃ molecular cage 1 (m.p. $\approx 230^{\circ}$) in 85% yield.

The CTV unit bearing longer branches was prepared as follows. Treatment of the sodium salt of vanillin with $ICH_2CH_2OCH_2CO_2CH_3$ (for the corresponding chloro-analog see [23]) gave 8 (m.p. 85°; 85%) which was reduced to the alcohol 9 (m.p. 40°; quantitative yield) with $H_2/Raney$ nickel. Trimerization of 9 with perchloric acid in methyl orthoformate solution at room temperature for 20 h gave the CTV trimer 10 in 40% yield (m.p.: 98°). The crude triacid 11, obtained as a glassy solid by basic hydrolysis of 10 (NaOH in methanol/water 95:5), was converted to the corresponding trichloride 12 by treatment with thionyl chloride. Following the same reaction sequence as for 1, 12 was condensed with 3 to give the tricarboxamide 13 (glass; 40%), which was reduced to the larger CTV-[18]-N₃O₃ cage 2 (glass; 65%). All new compounds had physical properties in agreement with the proposed structures.

Compounds 1 and 2 represent a new type of macropolycyclic mesomolecules [1], *speleands*, containing both a receptor site and a rigid shaping unit. They combine the features of bis-[18]-N₃O₃ cylindrical macrotetracycles [21] and of the bis-CTV molecular cage [20].

The overall shape of 1 and 2 may be described as a circular component topped by the CTV unit in its usual 'crown'-type conformation (as shown by the characteristic *AB*-quadruplet of the methylene bridges at about 4.7 and 3.5 ppm, J = 14 Hz in the ¹H-NMR. spectrum [9] [20]). Compounds 1 and 2 are *hollow molecules*, whose intramolecular cavity is maintained by the rigid CTV unit [9]. The flexible bridges permit adjustment of the cavity height along the C_3 -axis; the maximum size is clearly larger for 2 ($\approx 8 \text{ Å}$) than for 1 ($\approx 5 \text{ Å}$).



^a) The three N/ \sim O/ bridges between the CTV unit and [18]-N₃O₃ stand for N-COCH₂O.



The [18]-N₃O₃ macrocyclic sub-unit of 1 and 2 may serve as receptor site for cationic species. Indeed, the tris (N-methyl) derivative 14 binds metal cations and is especially well-suited for selective complexation of primary ammonium cations [19]. The latter property is of particular interest in the present case, since binding of R-NH₃⁺ by anchoring of the NH₃⁺ head group into the [18]-N₃O₃ ring, may occur either inside or outside the central cavity depending on the nature of the R residue. Preliminary experiments have shown that 1 dissolves about 1 equivalent CH₃NH₃⁺ picrate into CDCl₃/CD₃OD 9:1 or into CD₂Cl₂ yielding a mixture (about 2:1) of two species giving CH_3 -NH₃⁺ NMR. signals around 2.3 ppm and -0.1 ppm (illresolved quadruplet, $J \approx 6$ Hz in CD₂Cl₂). They may be identified respectively as the exo- and the endo-complexes, if we accept that the large shift to high field is diagnostic of inside binding, thus placing the CH₃ group of the substrate into the shielding region of the aromatic rings, as observed for the diammonium cryptates of cylindrical coreceptors [21] [24]. A schematic representation of this cryptate-type inclusion complex, the methylammonium speleate of 1, is given by structure 15. $R-NH_3^+$ substrates with R larger than CH_3 apparently do not bind inside (experiments in progress). Double-irradiation ¹H-NMR. experiments indicate that *endo*and *exo*-bound CH₃NH₃⁺ species exchange slowly (saturation transfer), and that the protons of *exo*- and *endo*-NH₃⁺ groups undergo respectively fast and slow exchange with the solvent. The binding properties of **2** are being investigated together with further studies of **1**, in particular by low-temperature NMR.

Developments of the chemistry of speleands may be concerned with: i) the incorporation of rigid rather than flexible bridges, as in 1 and 2, or rigidification of the basic components by structural modifications (for a recent example on calixarenes see [25]), in order to enforce more strongly a preformed cavity; ii) the synthesis of optically active 1 and 2 since the basic CTV unit is chiral and has been resolved [9]; iii) the introduction of polar groups in order to confer solubility in water and to permit operation of hydrophobic effects. In a broader view, a great variety of other combinations of receptor and architectural units may be envisaged, as briefly mentioned above, adding another facet to the chemistry of molecular receptors.

REFERENCES

- J. M. Lehn, Structure and Bonding 16, 1 (1973); Acc. Chem. Res. 11, 49 (1978); Pure Appl. Chem. 50, 871 (1978).
- [2] J.M. Lehn, Pure Appl. Chem. 52, 2441 (1980); in 'IUPAC Frontiers of Chemical Research', Ed. K.J. Laidler, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1982, p.265.
- [3] M.L. Bender & M. Komiyama, 'Cyclodextrin Chemistry', Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1978.
- [4] J.C. Lalloz, J.M. Lehn & A.K. Willard, unpublished results.
- [5] H. Erdiman, F. Haglid & R. Ryhage, Acta Chem. Scand. 18, 1249 (1964).
- [6] A.S. Linsey, J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 1685.
- [7] R. C. Cookson, B. Halton & I. D. R. Stevens, J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 767.
- [8] J.A. Hyatt, J. Org. Chem. 43, 1808 (1978); K. Frensch & F. Vögtle, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1979, 2121.
- [9] A. Collet & J. Jacques, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1265; A. Collet & J. Gabard, J. Org. Chem. 45, 5400 (1980); A. Collet, J. Gabard, J. Jacques, M. Cesario, J. Guilhem & C. Pascard, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I 1981, 1630.
- [10] F. M. Menger, M. Takeshita & J. F. Chow, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 5938 (1981).
- [11] A. Zinke, R. Kretz, E. Leggewic & K. Hössinger, Monatsh. Chem. 83, 1213 (1952).
- [12] J. W. Cornforth, E.D. Morgan, K.T. Potts & R.J. W. Rees, Tetrahedron 29, 1659 (1973); J. W. Cornforth, P. D'Arcy Hart, G.A. Nicholls, R.J. W. Rees & J.A. Stock, Brit. J. Pharmacol. 10, 73 (1955).
- [13] C.D. Gutsche, B. Dhawan, K.H. No & R. Muthukrishnan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 3782 (1981) and ref. therein.
- [14] G.D. Andretti, R. Ungaro & A. Pochini, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 533.
- [15] H. Erdtman & S. Högberg, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 1679 and ref. therein.
- [16] A.G.S. Högberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 6046 (1980).
- [17] J. B. Niederl & H.J. Vogel, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 62, 2512 (1940).
- [18] V. Gaglioti, A. M. Lignori, N. Galo, E. Giglio & M. Scrocco, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 8, 572 (1958).
- [19] J. M. Lehn & P. Vierling, Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 1323.
- [20] J. Gabard & A. Collet, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1137.
- [21] F. Kotzyba-Hibert, J. M. Lehn & K. Saigo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 4266 (1981).
- [22] B. Dietrich, J. M. Lehn, J. P. Sauvage & J. Blanzat, Tetrahedron 29, 1629 (1973).
- [23] E.J. Salmi, R. Leimu & H. Kallio, Suomen Kem. Lehti 17B, 17 (1944); [Chem. Abstr. 40, 6491 (1946)].
- [24] F. Kotzyba-Hibert, J. M. Lehn & P. Vierling, Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 941.
- [25] C.D. Gutsche & J.A. Levine, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 2652 (1982).