185. *SPELEA NDS.* **Macropolycyclic Receptor Cages Based on Binding and Shaping Sub-units. Synthesis and Properties of Macrocycle-Cyclotriveratrylene Combinations**

Preliminary communication

by Josette Canceill^a), André Collet^a), Jacqueline Gabard^a), Florence Kotzyba-Hibert^b) and Jean-Marie Lehn^{a, b})

^a) Laboratoire de Chimie des Interactions Moléculaires, Collège de France, 11, Place Marcelin-Berthelot, F-75005 Paris¹)

b) Institut Le Bel, Université Louis-Pasteur, 4, rue Blaise-Pascal, $F-67000$ Strasbourg²)

(16.VII.82)

Summary

Combination of a receptor unit with a rigid shaping unit produces a new type of receptor molecules of the cryptand class, hollow macropolycyclic molecules termed *speleands,* capable of substrate inclusion. Two members of this category of compounds **1** and *2,* have been synthesized by connecting in a single step, a macrocyclic $[18]-N_3O_3$ binding unit with a rigid cyclotriveratrylene unit *via* three bridges. Compound **1** binds the methylammonium cation forming both external and internal complexes; for the latter a *'speleate'* structure, schematically represented by **15,** may be proposed.

Cryptates are defined as inclusion complexes in which the substrate species is (are) contained in the intramolecular cavity of a macropolycyclic receptor molecule. Introduced originally for alkali and alkaline-earth complexes of macrobicyclic cryptands, this general concept has since been extended to inclusion complexes of a large variety of substrates (other inorganic cations, organic cations, as well as anionic species) with macropolycyclic molecules of various shapes and sizes, designed so as to display molecular recognition processes [11. The molecular architecture of these macropolycycles is based on the combination of various sub-units possessing specific structural properties and containing defined binding sites, in order to achieve receptor-substrate complementarity for both geometry and interactions. Furthermore, ligand dynamics, *i.e.* the rigidity/flexibility balance, influence substrate binding and exchange rates.

Within this general class of cryptand molecules, the connection of polar *binding sub-units* to large, concave, more or less rigid and hydrophobic *shaping*

 $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 \end{array} \right)$ GR 20 of the CNRS.

^{2,} ERA 265 of the CNRS.

components, should produce a new type of hollow macropolycyclic receptors, capable of substrate inclusion. We propose the terms speleands (from *onrjAaiov* = cave) and speleates for individualizing this sub-class of cryptands and of inclusion complexes. The binding sub-units may be derived from chelating, tripodal or macrocyclic arrangements of binding sites (as for instance in polynucleating cryptands [2]). The shaping components may belong to different types of framework like phenol-aldehyde condensation products, cyclodextrins [3] or functionalized porphyrins and tetrapyrroles [4]. The former are of special interest since, in addition to known representatives (e.g. veratrole-formaldehyde cyclotriveratrylene [5-lo], phenol-formaldehyde [11] [12] calixarene [13] [14] or resorcinol-aldehyde [15-17] condensation products), many intriguing variations remain to be investigated. Furthermore, such substances form clathrate inclusion compounds with organic molecules in the solid state *(cJ:* [14] [IS]) a property which may confer additional binding potential to speleands, especially towards hydrophobic substrates.

We now report preliminary results on the synthesis and substrate-binding properties of two members of this family of macropolycycles (compounds **1** and **2)** which result from the connection of a macrocyclic binding sub-unit of type $[18]-N₃O₃(3, [19])$ to the cyclotriveratrylene (CTV) derivative 4 [9] [20] by three bridges. Triply bridged coreceptors incorporating two $[18]-N₃O₃$ rings and forming molecular cryptates have been described [21], as well as a molecular cage formed by two triply connected CTV units [20].

The racemic (C_3) -tricarboxylic acid (\pm) **5** [20] was converted into the trichloride **6** by treatment with thionyl chloride. Condensation of **6** with **3** in high dilution conditions [22] afforded the tricarboxamide 7 (m.p. $\approx 325^\circ$ (dec.)) in 35% yield after purification by chromatography over alumina. Reduction of **7** with diborane [22], gave the CTV-[18]-N₃O₃ molecular cage 1 (m.p. \approx 230°) in 85% yield.

The CTV unit bearing longer branches was prepared as follows. Treatment of the sodium salt of vanillin with $\text{ICH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3$ (for the corresponding chloro-analog see [23]) gave **8** (m.p. *85";* 85%) which was reduced to the alcohol **9** (m.p. 40"; quantitative yield) with H2/Raney nickel. Trimerization of **9** with perchloric acid in methyl orthoformate solution at room temperature for 20 h gave the CTV trimer **10** in 40% yield (m.p.: 98"). The crude triacid **11,** obtained as a glassy solid by basic hydrolysis of **10** (NaOH in methanol/water 95 : *5),* was converted to the corresponding trichloride **12** by treatment with thionyl chloride. Following the same reaction sequence as for **1, 12** was condensed with **3** to give the tricarboxamide **13** (glass; 40%), which was reduced to the larger CTV-[18]-N₃O₃ cage 2 (glass; 65%). All new compounds had physical properties in agreement with the proposed structures.

Compounds **1** and **2** represent a new type of macropolycyclic mesomolecules [1], speleands, containing both a receptor site and a rigid shaping unit. They combine the features of bis- $[18]-N_3O_3$ cylindrical macrotetracycles $[21]$ and of the bis-CTV molecular cage [20].

The overall shape of **1** and **2** may be described as a circular component topped by the CTV unit in its usual 'crown'-type conformation (as shown by the characteristic AB -quadruplet of the methylene bridges at about 4.7 and 3.5 ppm, $J = 14$ Hz in the 1 H-NMR. spectrum [9] [20]).

Compounds **1** and **2** are hollow molecules, whose intramolecular cavity is maintained by the rigid CTV unit [9]. The flexible bridges permit adjustment of the cavity height along the C_3 -axis; the maximum size is clearly larger for 2 ($\approx 8 \text{ Å}$) than for $1 \times 5 \text{ Å}$).

^a) The three N \sim ⁰, bridges between the CTV unit and [18]-N₃O₃ stand for N-COCH₂O.

The $[18]-N₃O₃$ macrocyclic sub-unit of 1 and 2 may serve as receptor site for cationic species. Indeed, the tris (N-methyl) derivative **14** binds metal cations and is especially well-suited for selective complexation of primary ammonium cations [191. The latter property is of particular interest in the present case, since binding of R-NH $_3^+$ by anchoring of the NH $_3^+$ head group into the [18]-N₃O₃ ring, may occur either inside or outside the central cavity depending on the nature of the R residue. Preliminary experiments have shown that 1 dissolves about 1 equivalent $CH_3NH_3^+$ picrate into $CDCl₃/CD₃OD 9:1$ or into $CD₂Cl₂$ yielding a mixture (about 2:1) of two species giving $CH_3-NH_3^+$ NMR. signals around 2.3 ppm and -0.1 ppm (illresolved quadruplet, $J \approx 6$ Hz in CD₂Cl₂). They may be identified respectively as the exo- and the endo-complexes, if **we** accept that the large shift to high field is diagnostic of inside binding, thus placing the CH₃ group of the substrate into the shielding region of the aromatic rings, as observed for the diammonium cryptates of cylindrical coreceptors [21] [24]. **A** schematic representation of this cryptate-type inclusion complex, the methylammonium speleate of **1,** is given by structure **15.** $R-NH_3^+$ substrates with R larger than CH_3 apparently do not bind inside (experiments in progress). Double-irradiation 'H-NMR. experiments indicate that *endo*and exo-bound CH₃NH₃ species exchange slowly (saturation transfer), and that the protons of *exo*- and endo-NH₃ groups undergo respectively fast and slow exchange with the solvent. The binding properties of **2** are being investigated together with further studies of **1,** in particular by low-temperature NMR.

Developments of the chemistry of speleands may be concerned with: *i)* the incorporation of rigid rather than flexible bridges, as in **1** and **2,** or rigidification of the basic components by structural modifications (for a recent example on calixarenes see *[25]),* in order to enforce more strongly a preformed cavity; *ii)* the synthesis of optically active **1** and **2** since the basic CTV unit is chiral and has been resolved **[9];** *iii)* the introduction of polar groups in order *to* confer solubility in water and to permit operation of hydrophobic effects. In a broader view, a great variety of other combinations of receptor and architectural units may be envisaged, as briefly mentioned above, adding another facet to the chemistry of molecular receptors.

REFERENCES

- [l] *J.M. Lehn,* Structure and Bonding *16,* 1 (1973); Acc. Chem. Res. *II,* 49 (1978); Pure Appl. Chem. 50, 871 (1978).
- [2] *J.M. Lehn,* Pure Appl. Chem. *52,* 2441 (1980); in 'IUPAC Frontiers of Chemical Research', Ed. K. J. Laidler, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1982, p.265.
- [3] *M. L. Bender* & *M. Komiyama,* 'Cyclodextrin Chemistry', Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1978.
- [4] *J. C. Lalloz, J. M. Lehn & A. K. Willard*, unpublished results.
- [5] *H. Erdtman, F. Haglid* & *R. Ryhage,* Acta Chem. Scand. 18, 1249 (1964).
- [6] *A. S. Linsey,* J. Chem. SOC. *196S,* 1685.
- [7] *R. C. Cookson, B. Halton &I. D. R. Stevens,* J. Chem. SOC. B *I968,* 767.
- [8] *J.A. Hyatt,* J. Org. Chem. 43, 1808 (1978); *K. Frensch* & *F. Vogtle,* Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. *1979,* 2121.
- 191 *A. Collet* & *J. Jacques,* Tetrahedron Lett. *1978,* 1265; *A. Collet* & *J. Gabard,* J. Org. Chem. 45, 5400 (1980); *A. Collet, J. Gabard, J. Jacques, M. Cesario, J. Guilhem* & *C. Pascard,* J. Chem. SOC., Perkin I *1981,* 1630.
- [*101 F. M. Menger, M. Takeshita* & *J. F. Chow,* J. Am. Chem. SOC. 103, 5938 (1981).
- [11] *A. Zinke, R. Kretz, E. Leggewic & K. Hössinger, Monatsh. Chem. 83, 1213 (1952).*
- [12] *J. W. Cornforth, E.D. Morgan, K.T. Potts* & *R.J. W. Rees,* Tetrahedron *29,* 1659 (1973); *J. W. Cornforth, P. D'Arcy Hart, G.A. Nicholls, R.J. W. Rees* & *J.A. Stock,* Brit. J. Pharmacol. *10,* 73 (1955).
- [13] *C.D. Gutsche, B. Dhawan, K.H. No* & *R. Muthukrishnan,* J. Am. Chem. SOC. 103, 3782 (1981) and ref. therein.
- (141 *G. D. Andretti, R. Ungaro &A. Pochini,* J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun. *1981,* 533.
- [15] *H. Erdtman* & *S. Hogberg,* Tetrahedron Lett. *1968,* 1679 and ref. therein.
- [16] *A.G.S. Hogberg,* J. Am. Chem. SOC. *102,* 6046 (1980).
- [17] *J.B. Niederl& H.J. Vogel,* Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 62, 2512 (1940).
- [18] *V. Gaglioti, A.M. Lignori, N. Galo, E. Giglio* & *M. Scrocco,* J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 8, 572 (1958).
- [19] *J. M. Lehn* & *P. Vierling,* Tetrahedron Lett. *1980,* 1323.
- [20] *J. Gabard* & *A. Collet,* J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1137.
- [21] *F. Kofzyba-Hibert, J. M. Lehn* & *K. Saigo, J.* Am. Chem. SOC. 103,4266 (1981).
- [22] *B. Dietrich, J. M. Lehn, J.P. Sauvage* & *J. Blanzat,* Tetrahedron *29,* 1629 (1973).
- [23] *E.J. Salmi, R. Leimu* & *H. Kallio,* Suomen Kem. Lehti *17B,* 17 (1944); [Chem. Abstr. 40, 6491 (1946)l.
- (241 *F. Kotzyba-Hibert, J. M. Lehn* & *P. Vierling.* Tetrahedron Lett. *1980,* 941.
- [25] *C. D. Gutsche* & *J.A. Levine,* J. Am. Chem. SOC. 104,2652 (1982).